arXiv:1607.05259v1 [quant-ph] 18 Jul 2016

Mode analysis of higher-order transverse-mode correlation beams in turbulent atmosphere

H. Avetisyan[1,2] and C.H. Monken[1,*]

[1]Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Caixa Postal 702, , Belo Horizonte, MG 30161-970, Brazil
[2]Institute for Physical Research, Armenian National Academy of Sciences, Ashtarak-2, 0203, Armenia
[*]Corresponding author: monken@fisica.ufmg.br

July 19, 2016

Abstract

Due to the transfer of the angular spectrum of the pump beam to the two-photon state in spontaneous parametric down-conversion the generated twin photons are entangled in Hermite-Gaussian (HG) modes. This enables one using HG modes as an alphabet for quantum communication. For global quantum communication purposes, we derive an analytic expression for two-photon detection probability in terms of HG modes taking into account the effects of the turbulent atmosphere. Our result is more general as it accounts for the propagation of both, signal and idler photons through the atmosphere, as opposed to other works considering one of the photons' propagation in vacuum. We show that while the restrictions on both the parity and order of the down-converted HG fields no longer hold due to the crosstalk between modes when propagating in the atmosphere, the crosstalk is not uniform: there are more robust modes that tend to keep the photons in them. These modes can be employed in order to increase the fidelity of quantum communication.

1 Introduction

The fact that two-photon states generated by spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) are entangled in transverse spatial modes with high Schmidt numbers opens a possibility of encoding information in two-photon states using larger alphabets [1, 2]. To this end, it is of importance to understand whether the transverse mode correlation is still present in any extent after the down-converted two-photon states have propagated through a turbulent medium.

Among the many possible sets of orthogonal higher-order optical beam modes, the best known are the Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) and HG modes. LG modes are of particular interest as their helical phase front structures carry orbital angular momentum (OAM)[3]. Due to this complex spatial distribution, LG modes cannot be efficiently coupled to single-mode fibers. In order to detect higher-order modes, computer generated holograms shall be used to transform the higher-order modes to the zero-order ones which are further coupled to single-mode fibers [4]. Computer generated holograms can also be used to project superposition states of LG modes to

a particular state defined by the hologram necessary for verification of entanglement [5, 6, 7]. As the hologram and the single mode fiber configuration is sensitive to the radial distribution of the source (characterized by the mode number p), measurements of only the spiral spectrum of entangled two-photon states have been carried out [8].

In the presence of turbulence, the evolution of entanglement in the three dimensional LG mode basis has been observed using a single phase screen model for one of the photons, either signal or idler [9]. Recently, an experiment on transmission of OAM modes of light over a distance of 143 kilometers has been performed [10].

The atmospheric fourth-order correlation function for the SPDC process, when the field of pump represents any of HG or LG modes as well as a partially coherent field has been calculated analytically in Ref. [11], showing that the joint probability for detection of the signal and idler photons in different positions is considerable after propagation for more than five kilometers. In this Letter, we go a step forward and calculate the joint probability to detect the photons in different HG modes (see Eq. (7)) which is a product of functions, which mixes the indices of signal and idler implying that the entanglement is preserved. Our results also show that some pairs of modes are more robust to the crosstalk due to atmospheric propagation than others which can be beneficial for free space quantum communication purposes.

2 Probability of Detection of Transverse-Mode Correlation Beams

Full spatial entanglement has been accessed experimentally with feasible radial detection modes with negligible cross correlations in vacuum [12, 13]. The expected perfectly (anti)correlated pure state from SPDC has the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{p,l} |LG_{p,l}\rangle_s |LG_{p,-l}\rangle_i, \tag{1}$$

where the coefficients $a_{p,l}$ are the probability amplitudes to detect a signal photon in the (p,l) mode and an idler photon in the $(p,-l)$ mode. In contrast to the azimuthal modes, their radial counterparts do not necessarily represent Schmidt modes [14], however, there has been found non-zero quantum correlations of detected modes with different p [12]. Instead of the basis of the LG modes, mathematically, it is less costly to make use of the HG modes. As the HG modes form a complete set, one can expand the two-photon state as

$$|\psi_{mn}\rangle = \sum_{j,k,u,t=0}^{\infty} C_{jkut}^{mn} |HG_{jk}\rangle_s |HG_{ut}\rangle_i, \tag{2}$$

where $|\psi_{mn}\rangle$ is the state prepared in SPDC process and $C_{jkut}^{mn} = {}_s\langle HG_{jk}|_i\langle HG_{ut}|\psi_{mn}\rangle$ are the coefficients of expansion representing the probability amplitudes of detecting signal and idler photons in HG modes with mode indexes jk and ut, respectively. The joint detection probability, $|C_{jkut}^{mn}|^2$, for signal and idler photons each in some transverse mode propagating in vacuum has been calculated before [15, 16]. Following Ref.[17], we write the joint probability for two photons in modes M_1 and M_2, in the representation of the configuration space variables which facilitates accounting for the atmospheric effects on the state

$$\begin{aligned} P(M_1, M_2) &= |\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 | \psi \rangle|^2 \\ &\propto \left| \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 M_1^*(\mathbf{x}_1) M_2^*(\mathbf{x}_2) E_p \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2}{2} \right) V(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \right|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$|\psi\rangle \propto \iint d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 M \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2}{2} \right) V(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \hat{a}_1^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_1) \hat{a}_2^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2) |0\rangle \tag{4}$$

is the two-photon state generated by SPDC [18], while $E_p \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2}{2} \right) V(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)$ represents the two photon wavefunction. Experimentally, the modes $M_1(\mathbf{x}_1)$ and $M_2(\mathbf{x}_2)$ represent phase holograms, say, to be coupled with a detection system. The frequency degenerate SPDC state is of our specific interest, that is, $\omega_s = \omega_i = \omega_p/2$.

Expression (3) is independent of positions of detectors due to the fact that the fields, whose

modal expansion is made up of arbitrary weighted HG modes, are shape-invariant [19]. The shape-invariant property will no longer hold due to distortions caused by turbulence. The two photon wavefunction $E_p\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_1+\mathbf{x}_2\right)/2\right)V(\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2)$ thus can be taken in the far field approximation important for calculating the probability (3) by taking the effects of turbulence into account.

3 Detection probability in the presence of turbulence.

In this section we calculate the two-mode joint detection probability (3) taking into account the effects of turbulence. To do so, we write the two-photon wavefunction in the form [20]

$$\begin{aligned} E_p\left(\left(\mathbf{x}_1+\mathbf{x}_2\right)/2\right)V(\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 z^2}\int d\mathbf{r}'\int d\mathbf{r}'' E_p\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}'+\mathbf{r}''}{2}\right) \\ &\times \delta(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}'')\exp\left[\frac{ik}{2z}\left[|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{r}'|^2+|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{r}''|^2\right]\right] \\ &\times \exp[\psi(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{r}')+\psi(\mathbf{x}_2,\mathbf{r}'')], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r})$ is a random function representing phase and amplitude distortions of signal and idler fields, λ is the wavelength and z is the propagation distance. Utilizing (5), the probability (3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} P(M_1,M_2) = \mathcal{C}_0 &\int d\mathbf{x}_1\int d\mathbf{x}_1'\int d\mathbf{x}_2\int d\mathbf{x}_2'\int d\mathbf{r}'\int d\mathbf{r}'' \\ &\times M_1^*(\mathbf{x}_1)M_1(\mathbf{x}_1')M_2^*(\mathbf{x}_2)M_2(\mathbf{x}_2')E_p(\mathbf{r}')E_p^*(\mathbf{r}'') \\ &\times \exp\left[\frac{ik}{2z}\left(|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{r}'|^2-|\mathbf{x}_1'-\mathbf{r}''|^2+|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{r}'|^2-|\mathbf{x}_2'-\mathbf{r}''|^2\right)\right] \\ &\times \left\langle \exp\left[\psi(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{r}')+\psi^*(\mathbf{x}_1',\mathbf{r}'')+\psi(\mathbf{x}_2,\mathbf{r}')+\psi^*(\mathbf{x}_2',\mathbf{r}'')\right]\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_0 = 1/(\lambda^4 z^4)$. The integration for a Gaussian pump and HG mode functions, where the geometrical optics approximation along with the stochastic function $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ as a Gaussian random field were used, yields

$$P(HG_{m_s n_s}, HG_{m_i n_i}) = \Pi(m_s,m_i)\Pi(n_s,n_i), \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mu,\nu) = &\frac{1}{\lambda^2 z^2\sqrt{\pi B_1}\mu!\nu!2^{\mu+\nu}}\sum_{k_1=0}^{\mu}\sum_{l_1=0}^{\nu}\sum_{k_3=0}^{\mu}\sum_{l_3=0}^{\nu}\mathcal{F}(\mu,\nu,k_1,l_1) \\ &\times \mathcal{F}^*(\mu,\nu,k_3,l_3)\mathcal{K}(\mu+\nu-k_1-l_1,\mu+\nu-k_3-l_3), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mu,\nu,k,l) = &\binom{\mu}{k}\binom{\nu}{l}2^{\mu+\nu}i^{k+l}\sigma(k,l)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k+l+1}{2}\right) \\ &\times\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{W}\right)^{\mu+\nu-k-l}\sqrt{1-\zeta}\left(\sqrt{\zeta}\right)^{k+l}F\left(-k,-l;\frac{1-k-l}{2};\frac{1}{2\zeta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\mu,\nu) = &\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\mu+\nu}\sum_{p=0}^{\mu}\sum_{q=0}^{\nu}\binom{\mu}{p}\binom{\nu}{q} \\ &\times(-1)^{\nu-q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1}}\right)^{2+p+q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_2}}\right)^{\mu+\nu-p-q} \\ &\times\left(\sigma(0,p+q)\sigma(0,\mu+\nu-p-q)\sqrt{\frac{C_1}{C_2}}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p+q}{2}\right)\right. \\ &\times\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2}\right)F\left(\frac{1+p+q}{2};\frac{1+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2},\frac{1}{2};C_4\right) \\ &-\frac{i\sigma(1,p+q)\sigma(1,\mu+\nu-p-q)(4C_1C_2+C_3^2)}{C_2C_3(1+p+q)(1+\mu+\nu-p-q)}\Gamma\left(\frac{2+p+q}{2}\right) \\ &\times\Gamma\left(\frac{2+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2}\right)F\left(\frac{2+p+q}{2};\frac{2+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2},-\frac{1}{2};C_4\right) \\ &+\frac{i\sigma(1,p+q)\sigma(1,\mu+\nu-p-q)[4C_1C_2+C_3^2(4+\mu+\nu)]}{C_2C_3(1+p+q)(1+\mu+\nu-p-q)} \\ &\times\Gamma\left(\frac{2+p+q}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2}\right) \\ &\left.\times F\left(\frac{2+p+q}{2};\frac{2+\mu+\nu-p-q}{2},\frac{1}{2};C_4\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

F is the Hypergeometric function, $\binom{\cdot}{\cdot}$ is the binomial coefficient and Γ is the Gamma function. Other quantities are defined as $\sigma(k,l) = (-1)^k+(-1)^l$, $\zeta = \frac{1+\Lambda_0^2}{1+\Lambda_0^2+i\Lambda_0}$, $\Lambda_0 = \frac{2z}{kW_0^2}$, $W_0 = \sqrt{2}W_{0p}$, $C_1 = \mathrm{Re}A_2 - \frac{A_3}{2}$, $C_2 = \mathrm{Re}A_2+\frac{A_3}{2}$, $C_3 = \mathrm{Im}A_2$, $C_4 = -\frac{C_3^2}{4C_1C_2}$, $A_1 = \frac{k}{4z}\left(\frac{\Lambda_0}{1+\Lambda_0^2}-i\right)$, $A_2 = -\frac{B_2^2}{4B_1}+B_3+\frac{k}{z}\frac{\Lambda_0}{1+\Lambda_0^2}$, $A_3 = -\frac{|B_2|^2}{2B_1}+B_4$, $B_1 = \frac{k}{z}\left(\frac{1}{2\Lambda_0}+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2}+\gamma\right)$, $B_2 = \frac{k}{z}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_0}-\gamma-i\right)$, $B_3 = \frac{k}{z}\left(\frac{1}{2\Lambda_0}+\gamma-i\right)$, $B_4 = \frac{k}{z}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_0}+2\gamma\right)$. Here, $\gamma = 1.63(\sigma_R^2)^{\frac{6}{5}}$ measures the strength of the turbulence related to the Rytov variance,

$$\sigma_R^2 = 1.23C_n^2k^{7/6}z^{11/6}, \tag{8}$$

where C_n^2 is the structure constant of the refractive index of the atmosphere, k is the wavenumber.

The ensemble averaging in Eq. (6) is performed using the Wiener-Khinchin Theorem with the Tatarskii power spectrum of index of refraction fluctuations. Similar calculation can be found in Ref. [11]. Being one of the main results of our paper, expression (7) shows that the joint two-mode detection probability for signal and idler photons is a product of functions, which mixes the indices of signal and idler. This directly implies that the entanglement is preserved. As the two-photon wavefunction in Eq. (5) is expressed in the paraxial approximation, thus not properly normalized, the sums $\sum_{m_s}\sum_{n_s}\sum_{m_i}\sum_{n_i} P(HG_{m_s n_s}, HG_{m_i n_i})$ do not converge to unity. This is because the shapes of the higher and higher order modes increase, leading to a deviation from the paraxial approximation.

To have better insight, one can arrange the values of Eq.(7) in a matrix. Below we construct the first 100 values of (7) in a 10×10 matrix for the vacuum case

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0.31307 & 0 & 0 & 0.03986 & 0 & 0.03986 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.07697 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.02940 & 0 & 0.00980 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.07697 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.00980 & 0 & 0.02940 \\
0.03986 & 0 & 0 & 0.04345 & 0 & 0.00508 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.01892 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0.03986 & 0 & 0 & 0.00508 & 0 & 0.04345 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.02940 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.03023 & 0 & 0.00374 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.00980 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.01068 & 0 & 0.00374 \\
0 & 0.00980 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.00374 & 0 & 0.01068 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.02940 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.00374 & 0 & 0.03023
\end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

The elements $ij = m_s n_s, m_i n_i$ of the matrix are double indices corresponding to mode numbers of signal and idler ranging as $m_k n_k = \{00,01,10,02,11,20,03,12,21,30\}$, where $k = s, i$. Moreover, the matrix elements satisfy selection rules obtained in Ref. [16]

$$\text{parity}(m_s + m_i) = \text{parity}(m_p), \quad m_s + m_i \geq m_p, \tag{10}$$

$$\text{parity}(n_s + n_i) = \text{parity}(n_p), \quad n_s + n_i \geq n_p. \tag{11}$$

The matrix for a weak turbulence regime, more precisely, for $\sigma_R^2 = 0.02$ and for the propagation distance $z = 5$km, the wavelength $\lambda = 0.8\mu$m and the spot size of the pump at the nonlinear crystal $W_0 = 10$ cm has the form shown in Eq.(12), . We see that all elements are different from zero: the atmosphere causes crosstalk between different modes. The variation of the first two matrix elements with the strength of turbulence is shown in Fig.1.

For the first line of the matrix, we compare the behavior of the probabilities for two different turbulence conditions $\sigma_R^2 = 0.01$, and $\sigma_R^2 = 0.1$ with the vacuum case. We let the propagation distance, the Fresnel ratio and the spot size of the pump at the crystal to be the same. One can see that the crosstalk between modes is not uniform: photons tend to stay in some modes, e.g., {00,02} and {00,20}, conversely, crosstalk to some modes, e.g., {00,01}, {00,10} is more preferred than to others, e.g., {00,12} and {00,21}. Therefore, in making quantum communication with HG alphabet, one has a distinctive choice of modes that can increase the fidelity of the communication. One should also note that this is true for quite weak turbulence conditions as demonstrated in Fig.2.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0.2262 & 0.0157 & 0.0157 & 0.0379 & 0.0011 & 0.0379 & 0.0077 & 0.0026 & 0.0026 & 0.0077 \\
0.0157 & 0.0439 & 0.0011 & 0.0009 & 0.0030 & 0.0026 & 0.0204 & 0.0001 & 0.0073 & 0.0005 \\
0.0157 & 0.0011 & 0.0439 & 0.0026 & 0.0030 & 0.0009 & 0.0005 & 0.0073 & 0.0001 & 0.0204 \\
0.0379 & 0.0009 & 0.0026 & 0.0275 & 0.0001 & 0.0063 & 0.0005 & 0.0019 & 0.0001 & 0.0013 \\
0.0011 & 0.0030 & 0.0030 & 0.0001 & 0.0085 & 0.0001 & 0.0014 & 0.0002 & 0.0002 & 0.0014 \\
0.0379 & 0.0026 & 0.0009 & 0.0063 & 0.0001 & 0.0275 & 0.0013 & 0.0001 & 0.0019 & 0.0005 \\
0.0077 & 0.0204 & 0.0005 & 0.0005 & 0.0014 & 0.0013 & 0.0191 & 0.0001 & 0.0034 & 0.0003 \\
0.0026 & 0.0001 & 0.0073 & 0.0019 & 0.0002 & 0.0001 & 0.0001 & 0.0053 & 3\times10^{-6} & 0.0034 \\
0.0026 & 0.0073 & 0.0001 & 0.0001 & 0.0002 & 0.0019 & 0.0034 & 3\times10^{-6} & 0.0053 & 0.0001 \\
0.0077 & 0.0005 & 0.0204 & 0.0013 & 0.0014 & 0.0005 & 0.0003 & 0.0034 & 0.0001 & 0.0191
\end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$



(a)

(b)

Figure 1: The behaviour of the two-mode joint probabilities $P(00,00)$ (1a) and $P(00,01)$ (1b) as turbulence strength increased.



(a)

(b)

Figure 2: Comparison of the probabilities in the first lines of the two matrices for turbulence strengths $\sigma_R^2 = 0,01$ (2a) and $\sigma_R^2 = 0,1$ (2b).

Fig.1 shows that forbidden probabilities, imposed by the selection rules (10), increase due to the crosstalk between modes caused by atmosphere. Accordingly, the allowed probabilities decrease to conserve the total probability. In Fig.2, the blue and red columns represent the probabilities for the vac-

uum and turbulent cases, respectively.

4 Conclusion

The quantum state produced by SPDC process is entangled in spatial degrees of freedom. The entanglement in the HG transverse modes has been shown by Walborn *et al.* [16] by implying restrictions on both the parity and order of the down-converted HG fields, recapped in Eq.(10). One could use this higher dimensional entanglement to make quantum communication with a large alphabet, thereby enhancing the security of the communication. For a long distance and, eventually, global quantum communication with entangled photonic states one needs to consider the effects of the atmosphere. We obtained an analytic expression for the joint detection probability for signal and idler photons either of them to be found in an HG mode of any order. We considered a Gaussian beam as a pump and used the paraxial approximation for the down-converted fields. Our results show that for a propagation distance of 5km there is a nonuniform crosstalk between modes: there are modes that tend to stay populated while some tend to stay empty. This feature can be used to enhance the quantum communication fidelity by selecting appropriate mode projectors at the detectors' side.

References

[1] S. P. Walborn, C. H. Monken, S. Pádua, and P. H. Souto Ribeiro, "Spatial correlations in parametric down-conversion," Phys. Rep. **495**, 87 (2010).

[2] H. Di Lorenzo Pires, C. H. Monken, and M. P. van Exter, "Direct measurement of transverse-mode entanglement in two-photon states," Phys. Rev. A **80**, 022307 (2009).

[3] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, "Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes," Phys. Rev. A **45**, 8185 (1992).

[4] Arlt, K. Dholakia, L. Allen, M.J. Padgett, "The production of multiringed Laguerre-Gaussian modes by computer-generated holograms," J. Mod. Opt. **45**, 1231 (1998).

[5] A. Mair, A. Vaziri, G. Weihs, A. Zeilinger, "Entanglement of the orbital angular momentum states of photons," Nature (London) **412**, 313 (2001).

[6] A. Vaziri, G. Weihs, A. Zeilinger, "Experimental two-photon, three-dimensional entanglement for quantum communication," Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 240401 (2002).

[7] A. Vaziri, J-W Pan, T. Jennewein, G. Weihs, A. Zeilinger, "Concentration of Higher Dimensional Entanglement: Qutrits of Photon Orbital Angular Momentum," Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 227902 (2003).

[8] H. Di Lorenzo Pires, H. C. B. Florijn, and M. P. van Exter, "Measurement of the spiral spectrum of entangled two-photon states," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 020505 (2010).

[9] Y. Zhang, S. Prabhakar, A. H. Ibrahim, F. S. Roux, A. Forbes, T. Konrad, "Experimentally observed decay of higher-dimensional entanglement through turbulence," arXiv:1604.06237.

[10] M. Krenn, J. Handsteiner, M. Fink, R. Fickler, R. Ursin, M. Malik, A. Zeilinger, "Twisted Light Transmission over 143 kilometers," arXiv:1606.01811.

[11] H. Avetisyan, C. H. Monken, "Higher order correlation beams in atmosphere under strong turbulence conditions," Opt. Express **24**, 2318 (2016).

[12] V. D. Salakhutdinov, E. R. Eliel, and W. Löffler, "Full-field quantum correlations of spatially entangled photons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 173604 (2012).

[13] M. Krenn, M. Huber, R. Fickler, R. Lapkiewicz, S. Ramelow, and A. Zeilinger, "Generation and confirmation of a (100×100)-dimensional entangled quantum system", PNAS **111**, 6243 (2014).

[14] C. K. Law and J. H. Eberly, "Analysis and interpretation of high transverse entanglement in optical parametric down conversion," Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 127903 (2004).

[15] S. P. Walborn, A. N. de Oliveira, R. S. Thebaldi, and C. H. Monken, "Entanglement and conservation of orbital angular momentum in spontaneous parametric down-conversion," Phys. Rev. A **69**, 023811 (2004).

[16] S. P. Walborn, S. Pádua, and C. H. Monken, "Conservation and entanglement of Hermite-Gaussian modes in parametric down-conversion," Phys. Rev. A **71**, 053812 (2005).

[17] Sonja Franke-Arnold, Stephen M. Barnett, Miles J. Padgett, L. Allen, "Two-photon entanglement of orbital angular momentum states," Phys. Rev. A **65** 033823 (2002).

[18] C. H. Monken, P.S. Ribeiro, S. Pádua, "Transfer of angular spectrum and image formation in spontaneous parametric down-conversion," Phys. Rev. A **57**, 3123 (1998).

[19] F. Gori, "Mode propagation of the field generated by Collett–Wolf Schell–model sources," Opt. Comm. **46**, 149 (1983).

[20] M. V. da Cunha Pereira, L.A.P. Filpi, C.H. Monken, "Cancellation of atmospheric turbulence effects in entangled two-photon beams," Phys. Rev. A **88**, 053836 (2013).